

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2015) KLR VOL 9 PART 370 pp. 2683-2848

SEPTEMBER 2015 (Special Edition)

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

NO SC JUDGMENT IN SEPT. 2015

(OTHER YEARS)

1. Adeyelu II v. Oyewunmi p. 2689 (May 2007)
2. Briggs v. Chief Lands Officer Rivers State p. 2703 (Apr 2005)
3. Maiyaki v. State p. 2735 (Jul 2008)
4. Obi v. Independent National Electoral Commission p. 2773 (Jan 2008)
5. Omokhafa v. Esekhome p. 2783 (Sep 1993)
6. Princewill v. State p. 2801 (Jul 1994)
7. Unity Life & Fire Insurance Ltd. v. International Bank of West Africa p. 2821 (Mar 2001)

ACCIDENTS - Defence - Challenge - Where the defence is raised - Prosecution must lead credible and admissible evidence - To convince court that the defence does not avail accused (H3) Maiyaki v. State p. 2735

ACCIDENTS - Defence of - Condition - The defence does not avail appellant - As he failed to raise the defence timeously (H4) Maiyaki v. State p. 2735

ACCIDENTS - Defence of - Weight - Where the defence is successfully set up - Appellant is relieved of criminal responsibility - As there is negation of any deliberate act on his part (H2) Maiyaki v. State p. 2735

ACTIONS - Commencement - Originating summons is used where special statutory provisions exist for its application - And not for proceedings where facts are in dispute (H1) Adeyelu II v. Oyewunmi p. 2689

APPEALS - Court - Document - Admissibility of - Where document is unlawfully admitted without objection at trial - Appellant can still object on appeal - Particularly where miscarriage of justice occurred (H5) Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A p. 2821

APPEALS - Court processes - Misuse of - Order to be made - Where originating summons was used in contentious matter - Appellate court is not to go into the merits - But should strike out the matter (H2) Adeyelu II v. Oyewunmi p. 2689

APPEALS - Grounds - Determination - Where ground raises issue of law based on admitted facts - It is ground of law - But where it is based on facts in dispute - It is one of mixed law and facts (H1) Briggs v. Chief Lands Officer Rivers State p. 2703

APPEALS - Notice - Filing - Non compliance - Waiver - 5th respondent having failed to object to the irregularity in filing of the notice - Cannot now be allowed to complain of an occurrence he had thought

little of (H2) *Obi v. INEC* p. 2773

APPEALS - Notice - Filing - Non compliance with CA Rules O. 3 r. 2(1) - As to the venue to file appeal - Would only confer right to ask the court - To pronounce the notice void (H1) *Obi v. INEC* p. 2773

APPEALS - Pleadings - Defence - Failure to raise - Appellant cannot rely on defence not pleaded at trial - Because respondent would have due to his failure - Lost opportunity of calling evidence to controvert (H10) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

BANKING - Document - Admissibility - Secondary evidence - Form of - Under E.A. s. 96(2)(e) is a copy of entry in banker's book - Which is admissible under certain conditions (H2) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

BANKING - Document - Entry in banker's book - Admissibility - E.A. s. 96(1)(h) - Secondary evidence may be given of contents of a document - When inter alia the document is entry in banker's book (H1) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

BANKING - Loan facility - Liability - Appellant's liability under the Deed of Guarantee includes - Interest, commission and banking charges - As clearly indicated in clauses 1-3 and 10 (H11) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

BANKING - Statement of account - Admissibility of - Failure to object - As no objection was raised - Appellant is deemed to have consented - Although conditions precedents for its admissibility were not established (H3) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

COURTS - Evidence - Admissibility of - Court is expected to admit and act on legal evidence - But where inadmissible evidence is admitted - Court is not to act upon it but discountenance same (H4) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

COURTS - Evidence - Admissibility of - Objection - Where inadmis-

sible evidence is tendered - And party or counsel on other side fails to object - Court in civil cases may and in criminal cases must reject such evidence (H7) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

COURTS - Procedure - Irregularity in - Waiver - Where party at trial consented to a procedure which is merely wrong - It is late to complain against same on appeal - Simply because he lost the case (H6) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

COURTS - Unchallenged evidence - Weight of - Where evidence given by party was not challenged by the opposite party - Court can act on such unchallenged evidence before it (H9) *Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A* p. 2821

EVIDENCE - Material facts - Contradictions in - Where conflicts exist in material facts - The same must be explained to satisfaction of court - Otherwise court cannot speculate on explanations not supported by evidence (H4) *Princewill v. State* p. 2801

JURISDICTION - Issue - Time to raise - Challenge to jurisdiction of court can be raised at any stage of the trial - And even on appeal (H2) *Omokhafa v. Esekhome* p. 2783

LEASES - Validity - Approach made to renew the lease by original lessee and plaintiff was legally right - As no evidence existed that the lease had expired and remained unrenewed - Before it was assigned to plaintiff (H3) *Briggs v. Chief Lands Officer Rivers State* p. 2703

MURDER - Evidence - Contradiction - Contrary to contention of appellant - No material contradiction exists in evidence adduced by prosecution - To prove the murder case (H5) *Maiyaki v. State* p. 2735

MURDER - Ingredients - Proof - For prosecution to secure a conviction - He must prove that deceased died - That the death was due to act of appellant - And that the act was intentional (H1) *Maiyaki v. State* p. 2735

MURDER - Proof - Autopsy - Identity of deceased - Where prosecu-

tion identifies body of deceased via autopsy - Separate witness on issue of deceased's identity is not vital (H2) Princewill v. State p. 2801

MURDER - Proof - Conviction - Absence of autopsy - Where evidence abound that deceased had died - But autopsy was not conducted - Accused may be convicted on circumstantial evidence (H3) Princewill v. State p. 2801

MURDER - Proof - Identity of deceased - Where evidence of autopsy is called - Failure to identify body of deceased is fatal - Save there is cogent evidence identifying deceased as killed by appellant (H1) Princewill v. State p. 2801

MURDER - Proof - Standard of - To secure conviction for murder - Prosecution must prove beyond reasonable doubt - That the death of deceased was caused directly or indirectly by act of accused (H5) Princewill v. State p. 2801

PLEADINGS - Issues - Evidence - Court proceeds on trial on issues in pleadings - But where party fails to call evidence in support of his issues - Court may resolve such issues against the defaulting party (H8) Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A p. 2821

PROPERTY LAW - Trespass - Injunction - Order of injunction granted is of a consequential nature - Made to protect plaintiff against 3rd defendant - Who is now a trespasser (H2) Briggs v. Chief Lands Officer Rivers State p. 2703

RES JUDICATA - Application - Conditions - For the plea to succeed - Parties must be the same in the two cases - Issues and subject matter must be the same - And judgment must be valid (H1) Omokhafa v. Esekhome p. 2783

SUPREME COURT - Judgment - Interference - Reason to invoke the jurisdiction of the court - To interfere with its judgment - Does not arise in this case (H3) Obi v. INEC p. 2773

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 233(3) Briggs v. Chief Lands Officer Rivers State p. 2703, s. 235 Obi v. INEC p. 2773

Court of Appeal Rules, O. 1 r. 22, O. 3 r. 2(1), O. 7 r. 3 Obi v. INEC p. 2773

Criminal Code, s. 24 Maiyaki v. State p. 2735

Evidence Act Cap. 62 LFN & Lagos 1958, s. 96(1)(h), (2)(e) Unity Life & Fire Ins. Ltd. v. Int. Bank of W.A p. 2821

High Court (Civil Procedure) Rules of Oyo State 1988, O. 38, rr. 1, 2, 5 Adeyelu II v. Oyewunmi p. 2689

Penal Code, ss. 48, 221(a) Maiyaki v. State p. 2735

Supreme Court Rules 1985, O. 6 r. 5 Omokhafa v. Esekhome p. 2783, O. 6 r. 8(6), O. 8 r. 2(2) Briggs v. Chief Lands Officer Rivers State p. 2703

PRESENTATION OF KLR SPECIAL EDITIONS

Permit us to state why September 2015 Parts 370 to 372 and some future parts of the KLR are called Special Editions henceforth.

When we state that KLR is ALL SC/Novel CA, we mean all major judgments/rulings of the SC delivered on a Friday since 1993, being the apex court's main day for delivering judgments. We also publish some significant rulings and judgments delivered on other days. We have always been assured by Clerks of SC that they are giving us ALL SC judgments, till recently when some subscribers called our attention to cases published in other law reports which we did not publish.

It is a response to that omission that gave birth to KLR special editions as to ensure that KLR publishes ALL SC. We shall be publishing all such omitted judgments or those that were not ready at press time in special editions in months that have no or have very few SC judgments like Sept. and Nov., 2015. Be at liberty to call our attention to any NOVEL CA from its commencement, and we would publish it. THANKS for choosing Kings Law Reports.